

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/981,409	10/17/2001	Katsumi Kurematsu	1232-4780	5838
7	590 03/04/2003			
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
345 Park Aven New York, NY			CRUZ, MAGDA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2851	
DATE MAILED: 03/04/2003				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

]
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V
	09/981,409	KUREMATSU, KATS	UMI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Magda Cruz	2851	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre)ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirt vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this comm ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 C	October 2001 .		
·	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under its	nce except for formal mat		nerits is
Disposition of Claims		, ,	
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	` .		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 17 October 2001 is/are:	a) accepted or b) ⊠object	cted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ d	isapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ⊠ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in A	oplication No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		ige
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•		unlination)
<u> </u>	•		piication).
 a) The translation of the foreign language provision 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 			
Attachment(s)	_		
I) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u> .	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	
Potent and Tradewall Off			

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on 10/18/2000. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the 318138/2000 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "pixel mirror not tilted" (claim 2) and the "aperture which shields, of the reflected light components from said mirror device, a light component which is not to be projected onto the projected surface" (claim 5) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. Figure 3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2851

4. Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this

Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect may be deferred until after
the examiner has considered the proposed drawing correction. Failure to timely submit
the proposed drawing correction will result in the abandonment of the application.

Specification

- 5. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it exceeds 150 words in length. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 6. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyama, et al. in view of Kim et al.

Koyama, et al. (US Patent Number 6,188,529 B1) discloses a projection type display apparatus (Figure 6) comprising a diffraction grating (3-1), an illumination optical system (1), a projecting optical system (22) for projecting reflected light onto a projected surface (23). An aperture (28), which shields a light component, which is not to be

Application/Control Number: 09/981,409

Art Unit: 2851

projected onto the projected surface (23). A color of light to be projected onto the projected surface (23) is switched by switching the angle range of the tilt angle (column 6, lines 36-37), wherein the tilt angle is maximized to display a desired color (i.e. red, green, blue) on the projected surface (column 6, lines 25-28) and wherein color display is performed by mixing the color light components (column 8, lines 57-65). The diffraction grating (3-1) is an echelon grating (column 14, lines 58-59), wherein said diffraction grating (3-1) has a staircase shape (Figure 10). The color to be displayed on the projected surface (23) is switched by changing the tilt angle (column 11, lines 15-17). The direction in which light diffracted is distributed and a tilt direction is in the same plane (column 7, lines 10-21). The diffracted light of each color is deflected in a separation direction of each color light component diffracted (column 14, line 58 through column 15, line 3).

Koyama, et al. teaches the salient features of the present invention, except a mirror array device comprising a plurality of tiltable pixel mirrors. However, Koyama, et al. comprises deflection means having a plurality of mirrors arranged titled at an interval (column 7, lines 10-11).

Kim et al. (US Patent Number 5,760,947) discloses a mirror array device (50) comprising a plurality of tiltable pixel mirrors (51).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize the mirror array device disclosed by Kim et al. in substitution of the plurality of mirrors from Koyama, et al.'s invention, for the purpose of incorporating a plurality of thin film layers of a motion-inducing, an electrically

Art Unit: 2851

conducting and a light reflecting materials (column 2, lines 20-22), and providing an array of actuated mirrors for use in an optical projection system (column 2, lines 25-26).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ji et al. (US Patent Number 5,481,396) relates generally to actuated mirror arrays for optical projection video systems, and more particularly to thin film actuated mirror arrays for optical projection systems.

Kim et al. (US Patent Number 5,661,611) discloses an array of thin film actuated mirrors for use in an optical projection system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Magda Cruz whose telephone number is (703)308-6367. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8:00-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams can be reached on (703)308-2847. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9318 for regular communications and (703)872-9319 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/981,409

Art Unit: 2851

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1782.

RUSSELL ADAMS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800